Case 1:07-cv-04369-AKH Document	1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	7)
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)
Iris Lopez	DOCKET NO.
Plaintiffs, - against -	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT
	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	JURY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	
Defendants.	
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Heller 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints fo	rstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, or all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
NOTICE	OF ADOPTION
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Constant Phintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in additional Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked and specific case information is set forth, as needed, but	d with an '☑" if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s),
Plaintiffs, IRIS LOPEZ, by his/her/their attorn BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectful	neys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI lly allege:
I. PAI	RTIES
A. PLAI	
citizen of New York residing at 1245 Bradford Avenu (O	R)
2. Alternatively, \square is is and brings this claim in his (her) of	the of Decedent capacity as of the Estate of .

Ca	ise 1.07-cv-04369-AKH Documen	it i Filed 03/20/2007 Page 2 01 11		
3. citizen of		(hereinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a, and has the following relationship to the		
Injured Plain	tiff: SPOUSE at all relevant times , and bri injuries sustained by her husba	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ings this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the and (his wife), Plaintiff Other:		
4.	In the period from 9/11/2001 to 10/5/2	2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Kings County		
District Attor	rney's Office as a Detective Investigator	at:		
	Please be as specific as possible when f	illing in the following dates and locations		
Location(s) (d Trade Center Site i.e., building, quadrant, etc.)	=====================================		
	bout <u>9/11/2001</u> until <u>10/5/2001</u> ; ly <u>15</u> hours per day; for	Approximately days total.		
Approximate	ly <u>14</u> days total.	□ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at		
The New York City Medical Examiner's Office From on or about until, Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.		Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:		
	Kills Landfill	From on or about;		
Approximate	bout; lyhours per day; for lydays total.	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:		
*Continue t		aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information.		
5.	Injured Plaintiff			
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed above;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated		
	Was exposed to and inhaled or ingested toxic substances and particulates on all dates at the site(s) indicated above;			
	✓ Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at		
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.			

6.

Injured	l Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☑ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 11/2/06 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
☑ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	✓ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	✓ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	HAULING, LLC, INC.
the City has not adjusted the claim	✓ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
(OR)	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	CORPORATION
☐ deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	INC.
determination	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
☐ is pending	CORP
	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
DODE A UTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☑ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on 11/2/06	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
✓ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC. ☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
\blacksquare the PORT AUTHORITY has not	
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC. ☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DIVERSITIED CARTING, INC. ☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
☐ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE CEASING & INDUSTRIAL SOTTET
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	□ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL
	1

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

 \square RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

☑ ROYAL GM INC.

 \square SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

✓ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

☐ OTHER:

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ WSP CANTOR SEINUK GROUP

☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	•
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Filed 03/20/2007 Page 7 of 11 Case 1:07-cv-04369-AKH Document 1 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	ounded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; speciation Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers J ; ☑ Contested, by val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	urisdi ut the	iction, (or); \square Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has
	III CAUSES		
Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above named defendants based upon the following theories of liability, and asserts each element necessary to establish such a claim under the applicable substantive law:			
✓	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	\triangleright	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
√	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
			Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff
			Other:

Case 1:07-cv-04369-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Cardiovascular Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
	Respiratory Injury: Respiratory Problems; and Sinus and/or Nasal Problems Date of onset: 9/17/2004 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 9/17/2004 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
V	Digestive Injury: Stomach Problems, Including, but not limited to, Nausea and/or Acid Reflux; Throat Problems Date of onset: 9/17/2004 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	V	Other Injury: Eye and/or Ear Problems Date of onset: 9/17/2004 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

dama	ages:	
 V	Pain and suffering	
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life	
√	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity	
V	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits	
✓	Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation	
V	Other: ☑ Mental anguish ☑ Disability ☑ Medical monitoring ☑ Other: Not yet determined	

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York March 6, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Iris Lopez

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

March 6, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Case 1:07-cv-04369-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 11 of 11 Docket No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK IRIS LOPEZ. Plaintiff(s) - against -A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., Defendant(s). SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700 To Attorney(s) for Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated, Attorney(s) for PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: \square NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on □ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the

of which the within is a true copy judges of the within named Court, at

at 20 $\mathbf{M}.$ Dated,

Yours, etc.,

WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP